## Interview Summary Application No. 09/813,990 Hijikata Examiner Arun Chakrabarti Art Unit 1634 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)
(2) Tom Burns	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed:  All	`
any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
the structural, limitation	amendment with regard to of the claimed polynuclestide.
favorably elthough for	dment will be considered,
Vis necessary.	

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

xaminer's signature, if required